UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,438	01/31/2001	Dennis L. Salbilla	P02104US0/10100157	3287
Edmonds, P.C.	7590 05/13/200	EXAMINER		
Suite 130			CHORBAJI, MONZER R	
16815 Royal Crest Drive Houston, TX 77058			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/773,438	SALBILLA, DENNIS L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be side will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fractite, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  e timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.5,6,14,15,27,29-32 and 34-38 is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are without is/are without is/are without is/are without is/are without is/are claim(s) 1.5,6,14,15,27 and 29-31 is/are all 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32 and 35-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 34 and 38 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration. lowed. d/or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2001 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Strection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documed</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documed</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a literature.</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/773,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This non-final action is in response to the appeal brief filed on 05/21/2007

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 05/21/2007, PROSECUTION IS

HEREBY REOPENED. New ground of rejection sets forth below.

2. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise

one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a

reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31

followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of

appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however,

the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were

previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased

fees and the amount previously paid.

3. A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening

prosecution by signing below:

/Jill Warden/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Application/Control Number: 09/773,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim38 depends on cancelled claim 33. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **6.** Claims 32 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carson (U.S.P.N. 4,505,758).

Regarding claim 32, Carson discloses a method for processing a liquid hydrocarbon process stream (col.2, lines 12-15 and lines 56-60) that includes the following: initiating a process run of a liquid hydrocarbon process stream through a heat exchanger (in col.2, lines 56-60 where Carson applies electric charge to heat exchangers in the field of oil refineries where the flow of a liquid hydrocarbon process stream has been initiated), initiating an electric charge to process components (in col.3, lines 63-68 and col.4, lines 8-23, Carson provides an example of applying electrical charge to a heat exchanger receiving hydrocarbon liquid stream after being processed by hydrocracking apparatus), flowing the liquid hydrocarbon process stream through a heat exchanger (see col.3, lines 63-68 and col.4, lines 1-23 where liquid hydrocarbon product is

flowing through a heat exchanger) having the electric charge applied thereto and while flowing the liquid hydrocarbon process stream continually apply constant electric charge (in col.2, lines 18-20 and col.3, lines 59-62 where electric current is continually applied over a time interval of less than 5 minutes) to the heat exchanger.

Regarding claims 35-36, Carson teaches applying electric current to the inlet header box that is considered the chassis (figure 1:1 and 14 where the inlet header box is the supporting frame for the heat exchanger structure).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,438

Art Unit: 1797

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 5

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- **9.** Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson (U.S.P.N. 4,505,758).

Carson does not limit his teachings to applying electric current to the tubes of cooler, but further adds that electrical leads are selectively placed on portions that are known to be susceptible to accumulation of deposits (col.3, lines 37-40). Furthermore, Carson describes that his invention is applied to other types of coolers (col.5, lines 14-16) without excluding others. The instant disclosure does not provide evidence of criticality to applying electrical current to the shell of a heat exchanger. Then, absent any evidence of criticality and based on Carson teachings applying electrical current to the shell of a heat exchanger is a matter of routine experimentation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply the electrical charge to the shell of the heat exchanger, as it would be most easily connected to the power source. As heat exchangers are mostly conductive, such charge would clearly effect all parts of the heat exchanger, tubes and shell.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- **10.** Claims 1, 5-6, 14-15, 27 and 29-31 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 34 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,438 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

#### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments, filed in appeal brief dated 05/21/2007, with respect to the combination of Carson and Harms have been fully considered and are persuasive. The obviousness rejection of independent claims 1, 27 and 29 has been withdrawn. However, a response to other arguments is presented below.

On pages 9-10 of the argument section of the appeal brief dated 05/21/2007, Appellant argues that Carson does not teach a continuous or continual method for deposit prevention and that Carson applies electric current not electric charge.

Carson applies constant or continual or continuous electric current during a time interval (in col.2, lines 18-20 and col.3, lines 59-62 where electric current is continually applied over a time interval of less than 5 minutes) to a heat exchanger. The instant claims do not disclose a time range for applying the current. In addition, Carson discloses a method (col.2, lines 12-15) for reduction of fouling of process components with a liquid hydrocarbon stream oil refining plants (col.2, lines 56-60 and col.4, lines 8-11). Furthermore, Carson applies electric current that creates electric field having electric charges. Note that the definition of an electric current is the flow of electric charge. Therefore, Carson does apply applying electric charges to surfaces of heat exchangers.

#### Conclusion

**13.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MONZER R.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,438

Art Unit: 1797

CHORBAJI whose telephone number is (571)272-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

Page 7

- **14.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. R. C./

/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797